For the Northern District of California

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	FOR THE NORTHE	KN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10	SCOTT EMERSON FELIX,	No. C 10-1624 WHA (PR)
11	Petitioner,	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
12	VS.	
13	S. MAYBERG, Director,	
14	Respondent.	
15		

This is a habeas case filed pro se by an inmate in San Luis Obispo County Jail. Petitioner alleges that jail officials have not allowed him sufficient access to his legal papers, office supplies and other materials that he needs to pursue cases in the state court.

"Federal law opens two main avenues to relief on complaints related to imprisonment: a petition for habeas corpus, 28 U.S.C. § 2254, and a complaint under the Civil Rights Act of 1871, Rev. Stat. § 1979, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Challenges to the lawfulness of confinement or to particulars affecting its duration are the province of habeas corpus." Muhammad v. Close, 540 U.S. 749, 750 (2004). Petitioner's claims involve the conditions of his confinement and not the fact or duration of his confinement. As such, they are not the proper subject of a habeas action. See Moran v. Sondalle, 218 F.3d 647, 650-52 (7th Cir. 2000); Badea v. Cox, 931 F.2d 573, 574 (9th Cir. 1991) (civil rights action is proper method of challenging conditions of confinement); Crawford v. Bell, 599 F.2d 890, 891-92 & n.1 (9th Cir. 1979) (affirming dismissal of habeas petition on basis that challenges to terms and conditions of confinement must be brought in civil rights complaint).

In an appropriate case a habeas petition may be construed as a Section 1983 complaint. Wilwording v. Swenson, 404 U.S. 249, 251 (1971). Although the court may construe a habeas petition as a civil rights action, it is not required to do so. Since the time when the Wilwording case was decided there have been significant changes in the law. For instance, the filing fee for a habeas petition is five dollars, and if leave to proceed in forma pauperis is granted, the fee is forgiven. For civil rights cases, however, the fee is now \$350 and under the Prisoner Litigation Reform Act the prisoner is required to pay it, even if granted in forma pauperis status, by way of deductions from income to the prisoner's trust account. See 28 U.S.C. 1915(b)(1). A prisoner who might be willing to file a habeas petition for which he or she would not have to pay a filing fee might feel otherwise about a civil rights complaint for which the \$350 fee would be deducted from income to his or her prisoner account. Also, a civil rights complaint which is dismissed as malicious, frivolous, or for failure to state a claim would count as a "strike" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), which is not true for habeas cases.

In view of these potential pitfalls for petitioner if the petition were construed as a civil

In view of these potential pitfalls for petitioner if the petition were construed as a civil rights complaint, the case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice to petitioner filing a civil rights action if he wishes to do so in light of the above. If petitioner decides to pursue his claims in civil rights action, he must file it in the Central District of California, which is the proper venue for claims arising out of San Luis Obispo County. *See* 28 U.S.C. 84.

The clerk shall close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 14, 2010.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

 $G: \label{eq:condition} G: \label{eq:condition} PRO-SE \label{eq:condition} WHA \label{eq:condition} HC.10 \label{eq:condition} FELIX 1624.DSM. wpd.$